# EXHIBIT 10

```
Page 1
 1
 2
                   IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                  FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
 3
                          ALEXANDRIA DIVISION
 4
           UNITED STATES, )1:23-cv-00108-LMB-JFA
 5
           et al.,
 6
              Plaintiffs,
 7
           vs.
 8
           GOOGLE LLC,
9
              Defendants.
10
11
12
                        - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL -
13
14
                       VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF
15
                              KOBY SOUTH
16
                            August 31, 2023
17
                               9:06 a.m.
18
19
2.0
21
           Reported by: Bonnie L. Russo
                Job No. CS6074125
22
```

800-567-8658

973-410-4098

	moner con			
	Page 2			Page 4
1	Videotaped Deposition of Koby South held at:	1 2	I N D E X EXAMINATION OF KOBY SOUTH	PAGE
2		3	BY MS. MORGAN 7	PAGE
3		4	BI MB. MOROTH	
4		5		
		6	EVHIDITS	
5	D IVVI DIGITAY OF COLUMN	7	EXHIBITS	
6	Paul Weiss Rifkind Wharton & Garrison, LLP	8	Exhibit 82 Solicitation/Contract/Order 75	
7	2001 K Street, N.W.		for Commercial Items	
8	Washington, D.C.	9	VET-AF-ADS-0000461239-287	
9		10	Exhibit 83 E-Mail dated 6-16-21 151 VET-AF-ADS-0000-122732-742	
10		11	VET-111-11D5-0000-122132-142	
11			Exhibit 84 E-Mail dated 11-1-19 210	
		12	Attachment	
12		12	VET-AF-ADS-0000126694-697	
13		13	Exhibit 85 E-Mail dated 6-13-22 227	
14		14	Attachment 227	
15			VET-AF-ADS-0000027906-909	
16		15	Exhibit 86 E-Mail dated 6-14-21 242	
17		16	Attachment 242	
18	Pursuant to Notice, when were present on behalf		VET-AF-ADS-0000027459-467	
19	of the respective parties:	17		
	of the respective parties.	18 19		
20		20		
21		21		
22		22		
	Page 3			Page 5
1 2	APPEARANCES: On behalf of the Plaintiffs:	1	PROCEEDINGS	
3	SEAN CARMAN, ESQUIRE	2	(9:06 a.m.)	
4	VICTOR LIU, ESQUIRE ALVIN CHU, ESQUIRE	3		
	KATHERINE E. CLEMONS, ESQUIRE	4	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good me	orning
5	UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE 450 Fifth Street, N.W., Suite 700			orning.
6	Washington, D.C. 20530	5	We are going on the record at	
7	sean.carman@usdoj.gov victor.liu@usdoj.gov	6	a.m. on August 31, 2023.	
'	alvin.chu@usdoj.gov	7	Please note that the microphones ar	re
8 9	katherine.clemons@usdoj.gov	8	sensitive and may pick up whispering and	l
	On behalf of the Defendant:	9	private conversations. Please mute your p	phones
10	FRIN I MORGAN ESOURE	10	at this time.	
11	ERIN J. MORGAN, ESQUIRE PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND,	11	Audio and video recording will	
12	WHARTON & GARRISON, LLP	12	_	rraa
12	1285 Avenue of the Americas New York, New York 10019		continue to take place unless all parties ag	3166
13	ejmorgan@paulweiss.com	13	to go off the record.	
14 15	-and- HEATHER MILLIGAN, ESQUIRE	14	This is Media Unit 1 of the	
16	ANNELISE CORRIVEAU, ESQUIRE	15	video-recorded deposition of Mr. Koby S	outh in
16	MARTHA L. GOODMAN, ESQUIRE (Via Remote) PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND,	16	the matter of United States, et al., versus	
17	WHARTON & GARRISON, LLP	17	Google LLC filed in the United States Dis	strict
18	2001 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006	18	Court, Eastern District of Virginia, Alexa	
	hmilligan@paulweiss.com	19	Division. Case No. 1:23-cv-00108-LMB-	
19	acorriveau@paulweiss.com mgoodman@paulweiss.com			JI'A.
20		20	My name is Orson Braithwaite	
	Also Present:	21	representing Veritext Legal Solutions. I a	ım
21	Orson Braithwaite, Videographer	22	the videographer. The court reporter is B	

2 (Pages 2 - 5)

	moner con		
1	Page 266		Page 268
1	Q. Do you know whether prior to DOJ	1	refunds from Google before for advertising, but
2	filing the lawsuit in January of 2023 against	2	I'm not sure if it was ever really discussed in
3	Google, if anyone from DOJ notified the	3	a broader sense that it was specifically
4	Department of Veterans Affairs that it	4	related to anticompetitive practices, so I
5	suspected that the Department of Veterans	5	think I would answer that with no.
6	Affairs might be suffering financial damages as	6	BY MS. MORGAN:
7	a result of its contracts with Google?	7	Q. How did you receive the refunds that
8	MR. CARMAN: Objection, and I will	8	you just referenced?
9	instruct the witness not to answer.	9	A. Electronic fund transfer.
10	MS. MORGAN: I'm just asking if he	10	Q. From where?
11	knows before he ever spoke to DOJ.	11	A. Google.
12	MR. CARMAN: Yes, but then you went	12	Q. From Google directly?
13	on to ask whether anyone from DOJ notified the	13	A. Not to me.
14	Department of Veterans Affairs and then you	14	Q. How do you know that they came from
15	went on to describe the substance of a	15	Google?
16	conversation.	16	A. They we frequently get refunds
17	BY MS. MORGAN:	17	from ad tech providers and they end up going on
18	Q. You never had any communication with	18	an invoice and then they get reallocated back
19	the Department of Justice prior to March of	19	into paid media.
20	2023 on any subject, correct?	20	Q. Was that from Google to the VA?
21	MR. CARMAN: I think I will also	21	MR. CARMAN: Object to form.
22	instruct you not to answer that question.	22	THE WITNESS: In a broad sense, yes.
	Page 267		Page 269
1	MS. MORGAN: He's already answered	1	BY MS. MORGAN:
2	that question.	2	Q. What do you mean, "in a broad
3	MR. CARMAN: Then it is asked and	3	sense"?
4	answered.	4	A. Because that money will be spent on
5	BY MS. MORGAN:	5	advertises advertisements, that have been
6	Q. Prior to this lawsuit being filed,	6	authorized by the Department of Veterans
7	were you aware of any anticompetitive conduct	7	Affairs to its broker Reingold.
8	on the part of Google that would affect the	8	Q. Does the refund come to you from
9	Department of Veterans Affairs' advertising?	9	Reingold?
10	MR. CARMAN: Objection. Calls for a	10	MR. CARMAN: Object to form.
11	legal conclusion.	11	THE WITNESS: No. The refund comes
12	You can answer.	12	to Reingold.
13	THE WITNESS: Can you ask that	13	BY MS. MORGAN:
14	question again, please.	14	Q. The refund comes from Google to
15	BY MS. MORGAN:	15	Reingold?
			_
16	Q. Yeah. Prior to this lawsuit being	16	A. Correct. Or another ad provider.
16 17	Q. Yeah. Prior to this lawsuit being filed, were you aware of any anticompetitive	16 17	A. Correct. Or another ad provider.  And it gets tracked on invoices and put back
	filed, were you aware of any anticompetitive		And it gets tracked on invoices and put back
17 18	filed, were you aware of any anticompetitive conduct on the part of Google that would affect	17 18	And it gets tracked on invoices and put back into funding, that then gets spent on paid
17 18 19	filed, were you aware of any anticompetitive conduct on the part of Google that would affect the Department of Veterans Affairs'	17 18 19	And it gets tracked on invoices and put back into funding, that then gets spent on paid media according to an approved plan or, you
17 18	filed, were you aware of any anticompetitive conduct on the part of Google that would affect	17 18	And it gets tracked on invoices and put back into funding, that then gets spent on paid

68 (Pages 266 - 269)

	Indie 1 con		
	Page 290		Page 292
1	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I am	1	(Whereupon, the proceeding was
2	generalizing that I think most record retention	2	concluded at 4:08 p.m.)
3	policies are somewhere in the neighborhood of	3	
4	seven years. That would include most records.	4	
5	I highly doubt that they are deleting patient	5	
6	records every seven years though, but most	6	
7	business operational documents I think are	7	
8	seven years.	8	
9	BY MS. MORGAN:	9	
10	Q. Do you use any kind of chat platform	10	
11	to communicate at work?	11	
12	A. We use Teams, yes.	12	
13	Q. And you use the chat function inside	13	
14	Teams?	14	
15	A. Yes.	15	
16	MS. MORGAN: Okay. I think we can	16	
17	go off the record. I think I am done on the	17	
18	30(b)(1), but I want to just talk to my team.	18	
19	Does anyone object to taking a	19	
20	break?	20	
21	MR. CARMAN: No.	21	
22	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is	22	
	Page 291		Page 293
1	p.m. This ends Unit 5. Off the record.	1	CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC
2	(A short recess was taken.)	2	I, Bonnie L. Russo, the officer before
3	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is	3	whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do
4	4:08 p.m. We are on the record.	4	hereby certify that the witness whose testimony
5	MS. MORGAN: Mr. South, I am not	5	appears in the foregoing deposition was duly
6	going to have further questions for you as a	6	sworn by me; that the testimony of said witness
7	fact witness.	7	was taken by me in shorthand and thereafter
8	And before we go off the record in	8	reduced to computerized transcription under my
9	this deposition, I do want to just reserve	9	direction; that said deposition is a true
10	rights my understanding is that last night	10	record of the testimony given by said witness;
11	the Department of Justice informed Google that	11	that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor
	-	12	employed by any of the parties to the action in
12	there were some like several thousand	13	which this deposition was taken; and further,
13	documents of Mr. South's that had not been	14	that I am not a relative or employee of any
14	produced yet. We proceeded with the deposition	15	attorney or counsel employed by the parties
15	anyways. It was scheduled. I'll just reserve	16	hereto, nor financially or otherwise interested
16	the right to reopen the deposition should that	17	in the outcome of the action.
17	become necessary when we look at the documents.	18	/
18	And I have no further questions on		prince L Perso
19	this in this deposition.	19	
20	MR. CARMAN: We have no questions.	20	Notary Public in and for
21	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is	21	the District of Columbia
22	p.m. We are off the record.	22	My Commission expires: August 14, 2025.

74 (Pages 290 - 293)